PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM OF LIVING COCCOLITHOPHORIDS

R.W. Jordan, Dept. of Microbiology, Surrey University, Guildford, Surrey (Present address, British Antarctic Survey, Cambridge, U.K.)

J.R. Young, Palaeontology Dept., The Natural History Museum, London.

The classification system of living coccolithophorids has long been in a state of flux, due to differing opinions and misidentifications. Also there has been no recent attempt to compile a comprehensive catalogue of the modern nannoflora, although several lists have been presented from localised regions or from one or more water masses. In an attempt to rectify these problems a classification system was informally presented and discussed during the workshop on living coccolithophorids, at the INA meeting in Florence. This paper represents the results of the workshop in which a number of changes were proposed and accepted by all the members of the working group. The "complete" taxonomic list will appear in the near future (Jordan & Kleijne, in prep.).

WORKSHOP MEMBERS:

Linda Eide (Bergen, Norway), Ric Jordan (Cambridge, U.K.), Michael Knappertsbusch (Zurich, Switzerland), Hisatake Okada (Yamagata, Japan), Amos Winter (Mayaguez, Puerto Rico), and Jeremy Young (London, U.K.).

Additional comments were made at the meeting by Niki Hine (Norwich, U.K.), Syed Jafar (Lucknow, India), Katharina von Salis Perch-Nielsen (Zurich, Switzerland), and Phil Weaver (Wormley, U.K.), and subsequently by Annaliese Kleijne (Amsterdam, The Netherlands).

TAXONOMIC RECOMMENDATIONS

i) new varieties

Ceratolithus cristatus var. telesmus (Norris 1965) stat. nov. (Notes 1 & 2)
Basionym: Ceratolithus telesmus NORRIS, 1965, p.21-22, pl.11/5-8, 13/1-3.

Oolithotus fragilis var. cavum (Okada & McIntyre 1977) stat. nov. (Note 1)
Basionym: Oolithotus fragilis subsp. cavum OKADA and MCINTYRE, 1977, p.11-12, pl.4/4-5.

Helicosphaera carteri var. hyalina (Gaarder 1970) stat. nov. (Note 3) Basionym: Helicosphaera hyalina GAARDER, 1970, p.113-119, figs. 1a-g, 2a-d, 3a.

Emiliania huxleyi var. corona (Okada & McIntyre 1977) stat. nov. (Note 1)
Basionym: Emiliania huxleyi subsp. corona OKADA & MCINTYRE, 1977, p.9, pl.1/1-4,
6-7.

ii) new combinations

Reticulofenestra parvula (Okada & McIntyre 1977) comb. nov. (Note 4)
Basionym: Crenalithus parvulus OKADA & MCINTYRE, 1977, p.6-7, pl.2/1-2.

Reticulofenestra parvula var. tecticentrum (Okada & McIntyre 1977) comb. (Nottest.1n&v4)
Basionym: Crenalithus parvulus subsp. tecticentrum OKADA & MCINTYRE, 1977, p.7, pl.2/3-4, 7.

Reticulofenestra punctata (Okada & McIntyre 1977) comb. nov. (Note 4)
Basionym: Crenalithus punctatus OKADA & MCINTYRE, 1977, p.7-8, pl.2/8-9.

Reticulofenestra sessilis (Lohmann 1912) comb. nov. (Note 4)

Basionym: Pontosphaera sessilis LOHMANN, 1912, p.42-46, text-fig.9.

Syracosphaera anthos (Lohmann) comb. nov. (Note 5)

Basionym: Deutschlandia anthos LOHMANN, 1912, p.46, fig.10/1, 3 (not 2).

Syracosphaera halldalii f. dilatata (Heimdal 1981) comb. nov. (Note 6)

INA Newsletter 12/1 - 1990 15

Basionym: Caneosphaera halldalii f. dilatata HEIMDAL, in Heimdal & Gaarder, 1981, p.44, pl.2/9.

iii) new genus and new combination

Alveosphaera gen. nov. (alveus L. - trough)

(Note 7)

Coccosphaera fusiformis cum coccolithis monomorphismis. Coccolithi alveoformes, habens duo muri paene paralleli. Latus proximale areae centralis craticula opertum.

Typus: Alveosphaera bimurata (Okada & McIntyre 1977) comb. nov.

Coccosphere spindle-shaped with monomorphic coccoliths. Coccoliths are trough-shaped with two walls arranged almost parallel to each other. Proximal side of central area covered by a grill.

Type species: Alveosphaera bimurata (Okada & McIntyre) comb. nov.

Alveosphaera bimurata (Okada & McIntyre) comb. nov.

(Note 7)

(Note 8)

Basionym: Calciosolenia? bimurata OKADA & MCINTYRE, 1977, p.18-19, pl.7/1.

iv) new familyPapposphaeraceae fam. nov.

Typus: Papposphaera Tangen, 1972

Diagnosis: Coccolithi pappolithiformi; margo anguste muriformis exteriorem versus leviter inclinatis, muro assulis speciarum duarum alterne verticalium tangetialium que dispositis formato; altera species parva partio proximali muri limitata, altera species assulae verticaliter expansa; processus centralis saepe praesens.

Diagnosis: Coccoliths are pappoliths; rim has form of narrow slightly flaring wall formed of laths of two alternating types. One lath type relatively small, confined to the proximal part of the wall, the other vertically expanded. Central process often present.

TAXONOMIC NOTES

- 1) Use of varieties. There are several cases where continuity of variation and other criteria suggest that commonly distinguished coccolith morphotypes are examples of intraspecific variation. The workshop members agreed that it was often useful to distinguish these as discrete taxa and that it would be sensible to apply a single taxonomic level consistently. The ICBN treats all taxonomic levels as arbitrary pigeonholes, however, the terms species and subspecies have genetic and ecological meanings which do not conform to our understanding of these cases. Of the other intraspecific levels provided by the ICBN variety has been used much widely than form. It was considered that the status of subspecies should generally be changed to that of variety.
- 2) Status of Ceratolithus telesmus. Considerable variations in ceratolith morphology have frequently been seen in modern and fossil assemblages with continuous transition between the cristatus and telesmus morphotypes (Borsetti and Cati, 1976; H. Okada, our obs.). So we agree with Borsetti and Cati, 1976 that these should be regarded as intraspecific taxa, for the reasons discussed above we recommend use of varietal status.
- 1) Status of Helicosphaera hyalina and H.wallichii. There is considerable and continuous ariation in the size and orientation of the central openings in modern Helicosphaera. Such variation is often visible between coccoliths on single coccospheres (Nishida, 1979; workshop members obs.). Specifically H. carteri, H. wallichii and H. hyalina appear to be closely associated. So we support the use of the combination H. carteri var. wallichii (Theodoridis, 1984) and propose the new combination H. carteri var. hyalina.

16 INA Newsletter 12/1 - 1990

4) Utility of Crenalithus. As discussed by Backman (1980) there are no objective criteria for separating Crenalithus from Reticulofenestra, and the type species, Crenalithus doronicoides (Black and Barnes 1961) Roth 1973, is of dubious nature. The living species previously placed in Crenalithus are similar to typical, small Reticulofenestra spp. such as R. minuta (L. Gallagher, workshop members obs.). The species are therefore transferred to Reticulofenestra.

5) Utility of Deutschlandia.

Heimdal & Gaarder 1981 demonstrated that Deutschlandia anthos Lohmann 1912 was the correct identification of the species termed Syracosphaera variabilis (Halldal & Markali 1955) by various authors (Okada & McIntyre 1977, Nishida 1979, Winter et al 1979). They decided to retain the genus Deutschlandia, however, the generic descriptions of Deutschlandia (emend. Heimdal & Gaarder, 1981) and Syracosphaera (emend. Gaarder & Heimdal, 1977) differ on only two points. Firstly that Deutschlandia has incomplete rather than complete caneoliths. Secondly, that the central part of the exothecal cyrtoliths in Deutschlandia have a distally raised hollow cone whilst their counterparts in Syracosphaera have a central depression.

Other workers taxonomy does not accord well with these generic concepts. For instance, Okada & McIntyre (1977) described several new species of *Syracosphaera*, resulting in a much wider morphological variation than that of Gaarder & Heimdal (1977).

Syracosphaera now holds 18 recognised species of which only 3 were assigned to it by Gaarder & Heimdal (1977). It has become a mixed bag, containing species exhibiting complete or incomplete caneoliths, dimorphism or monomorphism, dithecatism or monothecatism. But there is no easy solution, the creation of several new genera based on morphism, thecatism or caneolith-type would only produce smaller mixed bags which would inevitably add to the confusion. In addition the exothecal cyrtoliths are not always present in dithecate species and isolated caneoliths are often difficult to identify. It is therefore proposed to keep the genus as a group of species with variable morphology, related by the possession of caneoliths with or without cyrtoliths, but lacking the highly specialised polar coccoliths, found in *Michaelsarsia* and other syracosphaerid genera. So *Deutschlandia anthos* is transferred to the genus *Syracosphaera*.

- 6) Utility of Caneosphaera. Syracosphaera molischii and S. halldalii were transferred to a new genus, Caneosphaera, by Gaarder & Heimdal (1977) on the basis of the coccosphere being monothecate and the coccoliths lacking an intermediate continuous or beaded mid-wall flange. However, C. molischii has been observed, by a number of authors, (Okada & McIntyre, 1977; Nishida, 1979; Heimdal & Gaarder, 1981) with "deviating" (=exothecal) coccoliths around the flagellar field only (= pseudodithecatism) and the stomatal coccoliths of C. halldalii f. dilatata were described by Heimdal & Gaarder (1981) as bearing "..a ring of bead-like knobs like the stomatal coccoliths of Syracosphaera exigua Okada and McIntyre and all the endothecal coccoliths of S. histrica Kamptner", i.e. they possess a mid-wall flange. The reliability of the generic description is now uncertain and it is therefore proposed that the species of Caneosphaera be transferred back to Syracosphaera, and the new combination S. halldalii f. dilatata is proposed.
- 7) New genus Alveosphaera. In 1977 Okada & McIntyre described a new species which they tentatively assigned to Calciosolenia. This species, C.? bimurata, supposedly bore scapholith type coccoliths, although they were shaped like round-ended boats rather than parallelograms. Borsetti & Cati (1976) recognised the necessity for removing the species from the genus, and transferred it to Syracosphaera. However, they used the unpublished epithet "duomura" (from a preprint of Okada & McIntyre 1977) and thus their new combination, Syracosphaera duomura, was invalid. Its transfer to Syracosphaera also seems inappropriate as the coccoliths

INA Newsletter 12/1 - 1990 17

differ from those found in other Syracosphaera species and it is therefore proposed to place it in a new genus, Alveosphaera.

8) New family Papposphaeraceae. Within the current classification system there are a number of genera which do not fit into the existing families. Some of these genera share common morphological characteristics and may therefore be tentatively separated into small families. It is proposed here that the two genera, *Papposphaera* and *Pappomonas*, bearing pappoliths (Norris, 1983) should be included together in the Papposphaeraceae. Norris (1983) showed that previous attempts to locate them within the Rhabdosphaeraceae (Parke & Green, 1976) and Halopappaceae (Tappan, 1980) were unsatisfactory and so he transferred these genera to the Deflandriaceae (= Prediscosphaeraceae). This assignment is also inappropriate as the family has left no fossil record since the Cretaceous and the coccoliths of the Prediscosphaeraceae radically differ in rim and process construction to those of the new family, the Papposphaeraceae.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Tony Chamberlain and Norman Robson for their help with the latin diagnoses and Shirley van Heck for answering various taxonomic queries.

REFERENCES

- BACKMAN J. 1980: Miocene-Pliocene nannofossils and sedimentation rates in the Hatton-Rockall Basin, NE Atlantic Ocean. Stockh. Contrib. Geol., 36, 1-91.
- BLACK M. & BARNES B. 1961: Coccoliths and discoasters from the floor of the South Atlantic Ocean. J. Roy. Microsc. Soc., 80, 137-147.
- BORSETTI A. & CATI F. 1976: Il nannoplankton calcareo vivente nel Tirreno centromeridionale, parte 2. Giorn. Geol., Ser.2a, 40, 209-240.
- GAARDER K.R. 1970: Three new taxa of Coccolithineae. Nytt Mag. Bott., 17, 113-126.
- GAARDER K.R. & HEIMDAL B.R. 1977: A revision of the genus Syracosphaera Lohmann (Coccolithineae). "Meteor" Forsch-Ergebnissei, D/24, 54-71.
- HALLDAL P. & MARKALI J. 1955: Electron microscope studies on coccolithophorids from the Norwegian Sea, the Gulf Stream and the Mediterranean. Norske Vidensk. Akad. Oslo, Avh., Math. Nat. Kl., 1, 1-30.
- HEIMDAL B.R. & GAARDER K.R. 1981: Coccolithophorids from the northern part of the eastern central Atlantic. II. Heterococcolithophorids. "Meteor" Forsch Ergebnisse, D/33, 37-69.
- JORDAN R.W. & KLEIJNE A. (in prep.): The classification system of living coccolithophorids. For: Winter, A. & Siesser, W. (eds.)
 "Recent Coccolithophores", Chapter 10, Cambridge University Press.
- LOHMANN H. 1912: Untersuchungen uber das Pflanzen- und Tierleben der Hochsee, zugleich ein Bericht uber die biologischen Arbeiten auf der Fahrt der "Deutschland" von Bremerhaven nach Buenos-Aires in der Zeit vom 7 Mai bis 7 September 1911. Veroffentl. Instit. Meereskunde. Univ. Berlin. Neue Folge., A. Geogr.- naturwiss., 1, 1-92.
- NISHIDA S. 1979: Atlas of Pacific nannoplanktons. News Osaka Micropaleont., Spec. Paper, 3, 1-31.
- NORRIS R.E. 1965: Living cells of Ceratolithus cristatus (Coccolithophorineae). Arch. Protistenk., 10, 19-24.
- NORRIS R.E. 1983: The family position of *Papposphaera* Tangen and *Pappomonas* Manton & Oates (Prymnesiophyceae) with records from the Indian Ocean. *Phycologia*, 22, 161-169.
- OKADA H. & MCINTYRE A. 1977: Modern coccolithophores of the Pacific and North Atlantic Oceans. *Micropaleontology*, 23, 1-55. PARKE M. & GREEN J.C. 1976: Haptophyta. In: Check-list of British Marine Algae. Third Revision (eds. Parke, M. & Dixon, P.S.). *J. mar. biol. Ass. U.K.*, 56, 551-555.
- ROTH P. 1973: Calcareous nannofossils, Leg 17 Deep Sea Drilling Project. IRDSDP 17, 695-795.
- TANGEN K. 1972: Papposphaera lepida, gen. nov., n. sp., a new marine coccolithophorid from Norwegian coastal waters. Norw. J. Bot., 19, 171-178.
- TAPPAN H. 1980: The Paleobiology of Plant Protists. W.H. Freeman & Co., San Francisco, 1028 pp.
- THEODORIDIS S. 1984: Calcareous nannofossil biostratigraphy of the Miocene and revision of the helicoliths and discoasters.

 Utrecht Micropaleont. Bull., 32, 1-271.
- WINTER A., REISS Z. & LUZ B. 1979: Distribution of living coccolithophore assemblages in the Gulf of Elat ('Aqaba). Mar. Micropaleont., 4, 197-223.

18 INA Newsletter 12/1 - 1990